



2017-18 North Carolina Avid Quail Hunter Survey

Chris Kreh, *NCWRC Upland Game Bird Biologist*
Office: (336) 386-0892
Mobile (336) 618-5749
chris.kreh@ncwildlife.org



Since 1984, the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission (NCWRC) has conducted an annual avid quail hunter survey to estimate long term avid quail hunting trends and to provide annual insight into avid quail hunting demographics. Volunteer quail hunters participate by recording and submitting their annual hunting activity throughout the season. Quail hunting activity is recorded by county and landownership type (e.g. private or Game Lands) within 8 management units within North Carolina (Fig. 1). Reported hunting trips typically consist of a single day per hunting party.




Figure 1. North Carolina quail management units in the avid quail hunter survey, 1984-85 through 2017-18.

Forty-six avid quail hunters responded during the 2017-18 season, providing quail hunting statistics for 557 hunting trips (Fig. 2). The gradual annual decline of total reported quail hunting trips has primarily been a function of fewer survey respondents and fewer hunting trips taken per hunter. This may also be a reflection of aging hunters, interest in other types of hunting, and/or declining opportunities to hunt quail due to lack of quality habitat and populations.




Figure 2. Total number of hunts reported by volunteer avid quail hunter survey respondents, 1984-85 through 2017-18.

During the 2017-18 hunting season, most reported avid quail hunting occurred in the coastal management units and the least in the mountain units (Fig. 3). This is not surprising given that quail are fairly common in the coastal plain, somewhat uncommon in much of the piedmont, and very rare in the mountains. Avid hunters reported a fair number of hunts this year in the northern and southern piedmont units. Since 1984, the long term trend for the number of trips per hunter has continued to decline (Fig. 4) while the number of hours hunting per trip has generally fluctuated between 3.5 and 4.0 hours per hunt (Fig. 5). Avid quail hunters went afield an average of 12.1 trips and hunted 3.7 hours per trip during the 2017-18 season. Party size averaged 1.8 hunters per hunting trip. It is important to remember that these figures only reflect avid hunters' activities when they are hunting wild quail. These individuals likely spend considerable additional time training or field trialing dogs, hunting out of state, or hunting pen-reared birds.




Figure 3. Total number of reported hunting trips by management unit by avid quail hunter survey respondents during the 2017-18 hunting season.




Figure 4. Average number of hunting trips per hunter in the avid quail hunter survey, 1984-85 through 2017-18.




Figure 5. Average hunting hours per trip in the avid quail hunter survey, 1984-85 through 2017-18.

Covey flush rates are presented both by hunting trip and hours hunted. Flush rates by hour may provide more precise indices of quail abundance, while flush rates by hunting trip are more applicable from a quail hunting perspective. However, we recognize that hunters change their hunting locations over time to areas with relatively more quail. This selective behavior by avid hunters has a tendency to skew abundance trend estimates such that they may not represent actual annual abundances or changes in abundance across the full landscape.

More quail are typically found in the coastal management units than in the piedmont or mountain units (Fig. 6). In 2017-18, coastal flush rates (0.65 coveys/hour) continued to be higher than either the piedmont (0.39) or the mountains (0.04). The high degree of variability seen in the mountain region estimate in recent years is likely a function of a low number of reported hunts from the region, rather than actual changes in abundance. The low number of hunts reported from the piedmont and especially from the mountains is almost certainly a result of fewer areas of decent quail habitat and quail populations. Flush rates continue to be much higher on private land than on public Game Lands (Fig. 7). Flush rates in the coastal units and on private lands increased noticeably from the previous year. Hopefully, this was a result of increasing quail populations and habitat, but ultimately the reason is unclear. It could be that weather was cooperative in key areas or that less-successful hunters dropped from the survey. We will continue to look closely at this trend in future years.




Figure 6. Average number of coveys flushed per hour by region by avid quail hunter survey respondents, 1984-85 through 2017-18.




Figure 7. Average number of coveys flushed per hour by land type by avid quail hunter survey respondents, 1992-93 through 2017-18.

During the 2017-18 hunting season, avid hunters in the central and northern coastal management units reported the highest flush and harvest rates on their hunting trips (Fig. 8). The peak in these management units was somewhat driven by a few survey participants that work year-round to ensure they have high-quality areas to hunt. Consequently, they experience high success rates. Most hunters are experiencing far lower success rates. Flush rates and harvest rates in the southern coast and piedmont are roughly comparable, suggesting that quail populations (where they are found) are roughly similar. However, the lower flush rates and harvest rates in the

mountains is likely due to quail being extremely scarce in these areas, with fewer quail to be found even where small patches of suitable habitat exist.




Figure 8. Average number of coveys flushed and quail harvested per hunting trip by management unit in the avid quail hunter survey, 2017-18 hunting season.

Despite the decline in avid quail hunters, the number of coveys flushed and quail bagged per hunting trip was relatively stable over most of the past 20 years (Fig. 9). However, flush rates and harvest increased notably this year. During the 2017-18 season, avid hunters flushed on average 2.1 coveys and harvested 2.1 quail per hunting trip. “Avid” quail hunters continued to maintain higher harvest rates than “standard” quail hunters who have responded to the NCWRC statewide hunter surveys (<1 quail per hunting trip).




Figure 9. Average number of quail coveys flushed and birds harvested per hunting trip for avid quail hunter survey and state hunter survey respondents, 1984-85 through 2017-18.

From 1984 through 2009, the avid quail hunter survey showed a general decline in the number of quail harvested from each covey flushed (Fig. 10). Since 2009, the number of quail harvested per covey has fluctuated between 1.0 and 1.2 quail/covey. This change may be related to more hunters choosing not to shoot flushed quail (because of their concern of quail declines) and/or their desire to primarily train bird dogs. Average reported covey size during 2017-18 was 17.0 quail. Reported covey size decreased steadily from 19.0 quail/covey in November to 15.3 quail/covey in February. Reported covey size was slightly higher on private lands (18.3 quail/covey) than on public game lands (10.6 quail/covey). No quail were flushed on 41% of the reported hunting trips.




Figure 10. Average number of quail harvested per covey flushed by avid quail hunters, 1984-85 through 2017-18.

Reported quail hunting effort (number of trips) and number of quail killed was highest during the month of December (Fig. 11). Not surprisingly, avid hunters reported more covey flushes and harvests per trip at the beginning of the hunting season (Fig. 12).




Figure 11. Total reported quail hunting trips and quail harvest by avid quail hunters during the 2017-18 hunting season (November 18, 2017 through February 28, 2018).




Figure 12. Average number of coveys flushed and quail harvested per hunting trip by month by avid quail hunters during the 2017-18 hunting season.

Funding for the avid quail hunter survey report was partially provided through a Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Multi-state Grant. The Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act, popularly known as the Pittman-Robertson Act, was approved by Congress on September 2, 1937, and began functioning July 1, 1938. The purpose of this Act was to provide funding for the selection, restoration, rehabilitation and improvement of wildlife habitat, wildlife management research, and the distribution of information produced by the projects. The Act was amended October 23, 1970, to include funding for hunter training programs and the development, operation and maintenance of public target ranges.

Funds are derived from an 11 percent Federal excise tax on sporting arms, ammunition, and archery equipment, and a 10 percent tax on handguns. These funds are collected from the manufacturers by the Department of the Treasury and are apportioned each year to the States and Territorial areas (except Puerto Rico) by the Department of the Interior on the basis of formulas set forth in the Act. Funds for hunter education and target ranges are derived from one-half of the tax on handguns and archery equipment.

Each state's apportionment is determined by a formula which considers the total area of the state and the number of licensed hunters in the state. The program is a cost-reimbursement program, where the state covers the full amount of an approved project then applies for reimbursement through Federal Aid for up to 75 percent of the project expenses. The state must provide at least 25 percent of the project costs from a non-federal source.



Appendix I. Reported hunting activity from avid quail hunter survey respondents, 1984-85 through 2017-18.

Year	# Avid Hunters	# Hunting Trips	Hours Hunted	Hours / Trip	Average Hunter Age	Trips / Hunter	# Coveys Flushed	Coveys Flushed / Trip	Coveys Flushed / Hour	Coveys Flushed / Hour - Game Land	Coveys Flushed / Hour - Private Land	# Quail Harvested	Quail Harvested / Trip	Quail Harvested / Hour	Quail Harvested / Covey Flush	# Hunts w/No Coveys Flushed	% Hunts w/No Coveys Flushed	Average Covey Size
1984-85		3734	14,905	4.0			8,929	2.39	0.60			15,004	4.0	1.0	1.7	590	15.8%	
1985-86	133	2605	9,963	3.8	47	19.6	7,867	3.02	0.79			12,785	4.9	1.3	1.6	237	9.1%	
1986-87	150	2649	10,785	3.8	47	19.0	7,379	2.59	0.68			12,565	4.4	1.2	1.7	403	14.1%	
1987-88	150	3062	11,802	3.9	47	20.4	8,819	2.88	0.75			14,574	4.8	1.2	1.7	373	12.2%	
1988-89	142	2788	10,817	3.9	48	19.6	6,415	2.30	0.59			10,881	3.9	1.0	1.7	475	17.0%	
1989-90	175	3282	12,677	3.9	48	18.8	8,697	2.65	0.69			13,455	4.1	1.1	1.5	369	11.2%	
1990-91	149	2922	11,068	3.8	48	19.6	7,632	2.61	0.69			11,367	3.9	1.0	1.5	335	11.5%	
1991-92	151	2562	9,213	3.6	49	17.0	4,747	1.85	0.52			6,750	2.6	0.7	1.4	559	21.8%	
1992-93		4241					8,524	2.01		0.29	0.58	11,977	2.8		1.4	765	18.0%	
1993-94		87					6,718	2.11				0				0		
1994-95	216	3496	12,271	3.5	51	16.2	6,191	1.77	0.50	0.34	0.52	8,767	2.5	0.7	1.4	802	22.9%	
1995-96	161	2628	8,832	3.4	52	16.3	4,809	1.83	0.54	0.43	0.56	6,308	2.4	0.7	1.3	584	22.2%	
1996-97	167	2581	8,677	3.4	52	15.5	4,439	1.72	0.51	0.23	0.54	6,157	2.4	0.7	1.4	608	23.6%	
1997-98	151	2142	7,618	3.6	53	14.2	3,531	1.65	0.46	0.20	0.49	4,611	2.2	0.6	1.3	548	25.6%	
1998-99	129	1874	6,602	3.5	54	14.5	3,167	1.69	0.48	0.24	0.51	4,038	2.2	0.6	1.3	488	26.0%	
1999-00	128	1678	6,036	3.6	55	12.2	2,168	1.39	0.36	0.29	0.39	2,772	1.8	0.5	1.3	538	34.5%	
2000-01	106	1508	5,474	3.6	55	14.2	2,128	1.41	0.39	0.21	0.42	2,841	1.9	0.5	1.3	471	31.2%	
2001-02	96	1478	5,212	3.5	56	15.4	2,498	1.69	0.48	0.26	0.51	2,738	1.9	0.5	1.1	390	26.4%	
2002-03	92	1405	5,098	3.6	57	15.3	2,529	1.80	0.50	0.24	0.52	2,675	1.9	0.5	1.1	384	27.3%	
2003-04	72	987	3,614	3.7	58	15.3	2,096	1.90	0.58	0.25	0.56	2,625	2.4	0.7	1.3	333	30.2%	
2004-05	87	1201	4,255	3.5	56	13.8	2,388	1.99	0.56	0.52	0.58	2,691	2.2	0.6	1.1	344	28.6%	
2005-06	76	1131	4,150	3.7	56	14.9	2,185	1.93	0.53	0.23	0.56	2,475	2.2	0.6	1.1	362	32.0%	
2006-07	79	1192	4,543	3.8	57	15.1	2,336	1.96	0.51	0.22	0.59	2,495	2.1	0.5	1.1	363	30.5%	
2007-08	81	1223	4,729	3.9	59	15.3	2,262	1.83	0.48	0.22	0.54	2,589	2.1	0.5	1.1	384	31.1%	
2008-09	81	1100	3,841	3.5	58	13.8	2,083	1.86	0.54	0.29	0.59	2,105	1.9	0.5	1.0	339	30.3%	
2009-10	71	978	3,521	3.6	61	13.8	1,731	1.77	0.49	0.15	0.56	1,633	1.7	0.5	0.9	332	33.9%	
2010-11	67	947	3,493	3.7	60	14.1	1,716	1.81	0.49	0.16	0.60	1,802	1.9	0.5	1.1	324	34.2%	
2011-12	72	985	3,872	3.9	57	13.7	1,753	1.78	0.45	0.21	0.54	1,950	2.0	0.5	1.1	384	39.0%	10.6
2012-13	69	903	3,468	3.8	58	13.1	1,670	1.78	0.46	0.20	0.54	1,655	1.8	0.5	1.0	310	34.3%	11.1
2013-14	71	883	3,249	3.7	59	12.4	1,729	1.96	0.53	0.30	0.61	1,960	2.2	0.6	1.1	271	30.7%	11.1
2014-15	58	752	2,642	3.5	58	12.9	1,303	1.73	0.49	0.20	0.63	1,345	1.8	0.5	1.0	314	41.8%	15.6
2015-16	62	715	2,519	3.5	61	11.5	1,175	1.64	0.47	0.19	0.61	1,275	1.8	0.5	1.1	343	48.0%	11.5
2016-17	57	689	2,322	3.4	60	12.1	1,077	1.56	0.46	0.21	0.63	1,088	1.6	0.5	1.0	289	42.0%	11.4
2017-18	46	557	2,071	3.7	60	12.1	1,169	2.1	0.56	0.25	0.77	1,155	2.1	0.6	1.0	230	41.0%	17.0